

Kamp Music

Executive Director / CEO

EIN 273402462

WA · NTEE n/a

FY ending 2025-06-30

June 10, 2026

This analysis benchmarks the total compensation of **Sarah Lusch, Executive Director / CEO** (\$44,828) against **every comparable organization** that fit the selection criteria — **0** in total — drawn systematically from IRS Form 990 filings, not a hand-picked subset.

Benchmarked executive: Sarah Lusch — reported title “Executive Director”, a **direct title match** to the Executive Director / CEO role.

Note: fewer than five comparables were available in this dataset (below PeerBasis's internal minimum; the 26 CFR 53.4958-6 small-organization rule involves three). Broaden the data window before relying on this determination.

How comparable organizations were selected

| | |
|-----------|--|
| SECTOR | Organizations sharing the subject's NTEE classification (n/a). |
| BUDGET | Total revenue between \$78,426 and \$313,706 — 0.50× to 2.00× the subject's \$156,853 (the band tightens as size grows). |
| GEOGRAPHY | no comparable sector data available. |

0 organizations qualified on sector, size, and geography → **0** within the band form the benchmarked peer set.

Distribution of comparable compensation

Insufficient comparable data to compute a distribution.

Comparable organizations

Each figure is Form 990 Part VII columns D + F (reportable pay plus other compensation and benefits; column F may include amounts from related organizations), normalized to WA cost of living (BEA RPP, 2023) and to its filing year (CPI-U); the reported amount is on each linked 990.

| ORGANIZATION | STATE | REVENUE | MATCHED TITLE | COMP (REPORTED) | COMP (ADJUSTED) | FY |
|--------------|-------|---------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|----|
|--------------|-------|---------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|----|

Comp (reported) is the figure on each organization's Form 990 (columns D + F); **Comp (adjusted)** normalizes it to WA cost of living and 2025 dollars. Click any organization to verify the figure on ProPublica.

■ Methodology

Comparable organizations were drawn from electronically filed IRS Form 990 returns and matched on sector (NTEE code), budget (a size-adaptive revenue band that tightens as the organization grows), and geography (same-state first, broadening only when too few peers qualify); every organization within the band forms the peer set. To compare fairly across regions and years, peer compensation is normalized to WA cost of living (BEA Regional Price Parities, 2023) and to the subject's filing year (CPI-U). The figure benchmarked is Form 990 Part VII, Section A, columns D + F — reportable pay plus other compensation, benefits, and deferred amounts (column F may include amounts from related organizations) — with the chief executive matched by role. Related-organization amounts (column E) and institutional trustees are excluded. Full methodology: peerbasis.org/methodology.

■ Sample, role match & sensitivity

| | |
|------------|---|
| PEER COUNT | 0 organizations — below 15; treat the percentiles as indicative, not precise. Compensation range \$0–\$0. |
| SIZE BASIS | Matched on total revenue (\$156,853); for reference, expenses \$217,793 and assets \$0. |
| ROLE MATCH | Sarah Lusch, reported title " <i>Executive Director</i> ", benchmarked as Executive Director / CEO. The title maps directly to this role. |

Sensitivity — the subject's percentile under alternative compensation definitions:

| BASIS | SUBJECT PERCENTILE |
|---|--------------------|
| Total compensation (D + F), cost-of-living + inflation adjusted — the PeerBasis default | — |
| Total compensation (D + F), as reported (no adjustments) | — |
| Reportable pay only (column D), adjusted | — |
| All sources (D + E + F), adjusted | — |

If the percentile moves materially across these definitions, the result is sensitive to methodology choices, and the board should weigh which basis best fits its facts.

■ Rebuttable presumption of reasonableness · 26 CFR 53.4958-6

Compensation paid by a tax-exempt organization is presumed reasonable — shifting the burden to the IRS — when three requirements are met. This report supplies the comparability data for the second. The board should record the following in its minutes *concurrently* with its decision:

Draft board minutes – executive compensation

1. The compensation of the Executive Director / CEO (Sarah Lusch) was approved in advance by [the Board / Compensation Committee], composed of members with no conflict of interest with respect to the arrangement.

2. Prior to its determination, the authorized body obtained and relied upon appropriate comparability data, namely the PeerBasis Compensation Comparability Determination dated June 10, 2026, comparing compensation against 0 similarly situated organizations (no comparable sector data available).
3. The authorized body determined that total compensation of \$44,828 is reasonable and documented the basis for this determination concurrently, on [date], by a vote of [__ for / __ against] .

Sources: IRS Form 990 e-file data (apps.irs.gov); IRS Business Master File (NTEE classification). Every figure traces to an original public filing — click any organization above to verify it on ProPublica. PeerBasis is a service of Prismind Analytics; its [methodology is published](#), was commissioned for independent adversarial review, and discloses its own limitations. This report is comparability data to support a board's good-faith determination under IRC 4958; it is not legal or tax advice. Generated by PeerBasis on June 10, 2026.